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## Summary

The National Citizen Survey ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (The NCS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/ County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Charlotte County's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Charlotte County's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it - Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

All of the facets with the pillars of Community Characteristics and Governance tended to be positive and similar to other communities across the nation. Levels of participation also tended to be similar to national benchmarks, with the exception of the facet of Mobility, which tended to be lower than rates reported in comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Table 1: DASHBOARD SUMMARY

|  | Community Characteristics |  |  | Governance |  |  | Participation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower |
| Overall | 1 | 44 | 6 | 3 | 37 | 1 | 2 | 29 | 3 |
| General | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Safety | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Mobility | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Natural Environment | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Built Environment | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Economy | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Recreation and Wellness | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Education and Enrichment | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
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Figure 1: Detailed Dashboard

|  | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall appearance | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 68\% | Customer service | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 77\% | Recommend Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
|  | Overall quality of life | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% | Services provided by Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% | Remain in Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
|  | Place to retire | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 87\% | Services provided by the Federal Government | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 46\% | Contacted Charlotte County employees | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 48\% |
|  | Place to raise children | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 56\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place to live | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Neighborhood | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 88\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall image | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\vec{\rightharpoonup}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}$ | Overall feeling of safety | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 84\% | Police | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 93\% |
|  | Safe in neighborhood | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 95\% | Crime prevention | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 77\% | Did NOT report a crime | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 85\% |
|  | Safe downtown/commercial area | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 90\% | Fire | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 97\% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 71\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fire prevention | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ambulance/EMS | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 94\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Emergency preparedness | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 77\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Animal control | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 67\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Traffic flow | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% | Traffic enforcement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 71\% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 40\% |
|  | Travel by car | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 64\% | Street repair | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% | Walked or biked instead of driving | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 45\% |
|  | Travel by bicycle | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 33\% | Street lighting | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 64\% | Used public transportation instead of driving | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow \downarrow$ | 5\% |
|  | Ease of walking | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 48\% | Sidewalk maintenance | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Travel by public transportation | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 24\% | Traffic signal timing | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall ease travel | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 79\% | Bus or transit services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 36\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Public parking | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 68\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paths and walking trails | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 52\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall natural environment | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 72\% | Garbage collection | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 94\% | Recycled at home | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 95\% |
|  | Air quality | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 75\% | Recycling | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 93\% | Conserved water | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 87\% |
|  | Cleanliness | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% | Yard waste pick-up | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 87\% | Made home more energy efficient | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Drinking water | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 57\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Open space | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 59\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Natural areas preservation | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 61\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | New development in Charlotte County | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% | Sewer services | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 80\% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 65\% |
|  | Affordable quality housing | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 35\% | Storm drainage | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% | Did NOT observe a code violation | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 49\% |
|  | Housing options | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 49\% | Land use, planning and zoning | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 33\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall built environment | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% | Code enforcement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 39\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Public places | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Legend

$\uparrow \uparrow$ Much higher $\uparrow$ Higher $\quad$ Similar $\quad \downarrow$ Lower $\quad \downarrow \downarrow$ Much lower $\quad$ Not available
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|  | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| है©岂 | Overall economic health | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 52\% | Economic development | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% | Economy will have positive impact on income | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 37\% |
|  | Shopping opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 66\% |  |  |  |  | Purchased goods or services in Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 97\% |
|  | Employment opportunities | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 36\% |  |  |  |  | Work in Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 32\% |
|  | Place to visit | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 74\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost of living | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Place to work | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 49\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Business and services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 62\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fitness opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% | County parks | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 78\% | In very good to excellent health | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% |
|  | Recreational opportunities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 67\% | Recreation centers | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 67\% | Used Charlotte County recreation centers | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 56\% |
|  | Health care | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 61\% | Recreation programs | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 65\% | Visited a County park | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 76\% |
|  | Food | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 70\% | Health services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 65\% | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% |
|  | Mental health care | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 39\% |  |  |  |  | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% |
|  | Health and wellness | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 62\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Preventive health services | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K -12 education | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 52\% | Public libraries | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 86\% | Used Charlotte County public libraries | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 55\% |
|  | Cultural/arts/music activities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 58\% | Special events | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 60\% | Attended a County-sponsored event | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 42\% |
|  | Child care/preschool | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 43\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Religious or spiritual events and activities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 81\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Adult education | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 53\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overall education and enrichment | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 53\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Opportunities to participate in community matters | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 68\% | Public information | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 71\% | Sense of community | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 52\% |
|  | Opportunities to volunteer | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 82\% | Overall direction | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 58\% | Voted in local elections | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 90\% |
|  | Openness and acceptance | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 56\% | Value of services for taxes paid | $\uparrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 56\% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 93\% |
|  | Social events and activities | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 66\% | Welcoming citizen involvement | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 45\% | Attended a local public meeting | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 20\% |
|  | Neighborliness | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 63\% | Confidence in County government | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 46\% | Watched a local public meeting | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Acting in the best interest of Charlotte County | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 46\% | Volunteered | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 33\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Being honest | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 50\% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 21\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Treating all residents fairly | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 52\% | Contacted Charlotte County elected officials | $\downarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 11\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Read or watched local news | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 94\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Done a favor for a neighbor | $\leftrightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | 88\% |

## Legend
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